

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/580,023	Applicant(s) POULIQUEN ET AL.
	Examiner SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE	Art Unit 1654
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE.</u> (2) <u>Ms. Lacy Kolo.</u>	(3) <u>Mr. Laskoski.</u> (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>29 April 2011</u>	Time: _____	

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
DP

Claims discussed:
26-29 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Kolo and Mr. Laskoski was contacted with an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Laskoski agreed to the proposed amendments on 5/19/11.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE/
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)